The Brunei Shipwreck

By Patricia Bjaaland Welch

"Nothing better exemplifies the linking of the East and Southeast Asian states and polities into a coherent regional complex of producers and consumers than the ceramic trade networks." – Geoffrey Gunn

(History without Borders: The Making of a World Region 1000-1800, Hong Kong University Press, 2011)

Three Southeast Asian shipwrecks dating to the late 15th/early 16th century – the Brunei, Lena Shoal and Santa Cruz – were, upon excavation, each found to be carrying more export Chinese blue & white ceramics than found in all earlier shipwrecks combined. This stunning fact led ceramic experts, including Ming-Gap specialist Roxanna Brown, to a myth-breaking conclusion, "The near absence of blue & white roughly prior to the reign of the Emperor Hongzhi, 1488-1505 as revealed by shipwreck cargoes, is startling since blue & white has become synonymous with the Ming Dynasty (1368-1644). 'Ming blue & white' rolls off the tongue so fluidly that it is difficult to realize that it was exported in significant quantity to Southeast Asia (and perhaps elsewhere) only during the second half of the reign of the 276-year dynasty."

When a long-held truth is discovered to be false, it’s major news, so when the opportunity to visit Brunei’s Shipwreck Museum as well as Kota Batu, one of the two sites in Borneo that gave birth to the term ‘Ming Gap’ presented itself to me, I jumped at the chance.

The Brunei Shipwreck

Like Singapore’s Belitung shipwreck, the Brunei shipwreck was an accidental discovery by Elf Petroleum (now Total) when carrying out a geophysical survey off the coast of Brunei in May 1997. The nearly intact wreck was excavated in adherence to strict marine archaeological procedures, with a careful network of metal grids laid on the wreck site that ensured centimetre-perfect record-keeping.

The ship’s destination was most likely the capital of Brunei’s first royal Islamic sultanate and port at Kota Batu, today an important archaeological site. (Like many of Southeast Asia’s ports, it lies not along the coastline but a few miles upstream along the Brunei River.) Ninety percent of the 13,261 recovered artefacts were ceramics – predominantly export ceramics from China, but also wares from Vietnam, Thailand and Burma. The remaining cargo consisted of various metals (cannons and hand guns), beads, glass bracelets, game tokens and assorted ‘other’ remains.

Some, if not the bulk, of the cargo would have been traded for such extremely valuable local products as camphor (kapur barus or karpura), considered worth its weight in silver for its use as a preservative and for various medicinal purposes. Brunei’s camphor was considered the best in the world and was highly sought after by both the Chinese and the Arabs. In fact, China’s overseas trade had historically been based upon primary substances from Southeast Asia such as turtle shell, pearls, cowrie shells, bird’s nests, beeswax, rattan, areca (betel) nut, and the aromatic gharu wood (still valued at tens of thousands of dollars per kilo).

The Lena Shoal

The second wreck identified as belonging to the Hongzhi Period (1488-1505), was also discovered in 1997, on a reef off the island of Busuanga (northeast of Palawan) in the Philippines archipelago. Like the Brunei wreck, it was a local trading ship, approximately 22-25 metres in length, probably a Southeast Asian, lashed-lug junk made of tropical hardwood planks and dowels.

The Lena Shoal’s cargo was dominated by Chinese ceramics, especially blue & white, together with other Asian ceramics that included a small number of Vietnamese blue &

2 The term 'Ming Gap' first appeared in the 1950s when an archaeologist working in Borneo noticed a ‘gap’ in the Chinese export ceramics found at the Sarawak River delta and a site at Kota Batu on the Brunei River. Why there was a gap between 1354 and 1436 is a topic for another article.
white, a large Martaban jar, over 100 large Burmese celadon plates, a small number of miscellaneous Chinese brown glazed ware, and a single Sukhothai jarlet. Other trade goods included bronze cannons and bracelets, glass beads and elephant tusks. The cargo was described as a “remarkable trove” of Ming Dynasty ceramics.

The Santa Cruz

The Santa Cruz, the largest of the three ships at 25 metres, tentatively identified as being of Filipino construction, was found approximately 270 kilometres north of Manila close to Hermana Menor Island and salvaged during the summer of 2001 when the looting had reached such a frenzy that the wreck needed to be excavated immediately despite bad weather. The lower hull was still intact, however, and like the two previously named ships, it carried an unexpectedly large number of Chinese blue & white (plates, bowls, small teacups, jarlets, boxes, kendis), again estimated at 90% of the original cargo, some within their original packing. Remarkably, 11,500 pieces have been identified as porcelain or “ceramic of excellent quality and in perfect condition”.

The remaining cargo consisted of large numbers of stoneware jars, some Longquan celadon, Vietnamese blue & white, and some Thai (Sawankhalok) celadons, some of which are on display in the National Museum of the Philippines in Manila – together with select pieces from the Lena Shoal.

Chinese Blue & White

Although blue & white porcelain appeared during the Yuan dynasty, it wasn’t made in quantity until the Ming dynasty. These three shipwrecks therefore not only testify to the existence and volume of intra-Asian trade during this period, but also mark the dramatic appearance of blue & white in large quantities destined for foreign markets.

In the words of Roxanna Brown, “visualise the Hongzhi years [1488-1505] as a great bubble of Chinese blue & white that flowed onto the Southeast Asian market.”

The Brunei shipwreck alone carried nearly 5,000 pieces of Chinese blue & white plates, wine/tea cups, jarlets and kendis – one-third of all the recovered wares. They were richly decorated with Chinese mythical animals such as the qilin and dragon, along with, spotted deer and cranes, horses, fish, aquatic plants and various floral motifs – the classic designs of the period.

Furthermore, the presence of Southeast Asian ceramics, even when Chinese kilns were producing large quantities of export ware, shows that there was still a market for Southeast Asian ceramics regionally; they weren’t just filling a ‘Ming Gap’. The discovery of the Brunei shipwreck and the number and age of the finds at the Kota Batu site show that Borneo was part of a long-established Southeast Asian trade circuit. This trade circuit’s importance grew after the Portuguese arrival in Southeast Asia, an event that marked the beginning of a sea change in the region’s peaceful trade patterns.
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